

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,370	04/12/2001	Jar-Chen Wang	952.701	3129
7590 06/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Raymond Y. Chan 108 North Ynez Avenue			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
Suite 128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Monterey Park, CA 91754			3618	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı	M

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/833,370	WANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frank Vanaman	3618		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the fi ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINATO6.07(f).	nal rejection.
have been 37 CFR 1 (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the fine, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee under al Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
2. T	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see I	NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materiall issues for appeal; and/or	y reducing or simplifying the
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.
	NOTE:	
3. 🗌 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separ- canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ T	Fhe a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considere application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	ed but does NOT place the
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to is raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	sues which were newly
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \Box vexplanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or	
Т	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
(Claim(s) allowed:	
(Claim(s) objected to:	
(Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-82</u> .	
(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8 T	The drawing correction filed on $_{}$ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the E	Examiner. ,
9. 🗌 N	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- FRANK VANAMAN
	Other:	PRIMARY EXAMINER
		-1200 m

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The declaration naming the two originally named inventors does not overcome the rejection under 35 USC §102(f), in view of the clear evidence of the request filed on April 22, 2002, which positively indicates that applicant belives the two named inventors to not be the correct inventive entity in this application, in that the request of April 22, 2002 attempts to change the inventive entity from inventors Wang and Gu to only inventor Wang (i.e., the deletion of inventor Gu).